

## Lecture 4

Relevant sections in text: §1.2, 1.3, 1.4

### The spin operators

Finally we can discuss the definition of the spin observables for a spin 1/2 system. We will do this by giving the expansion of the operators in a particular basis. We denote the basis vectors representing states in which the  $z$  component of spin is known by

$$|\pm\rangle := |S_z, \pm\rangle,$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} S_x &= \frac{\hbar}{2} (|+\rangle\langle-| + |- \rangle\langle+|) \\ S_y &= i\frac{\hbar}{2} (|- \rangle\langle+| - |+\rangle\langle-|) \\ S_z &= \frac{\hbar}{2} (|+\rangle\langle+| - |- \rangle\langle-|). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have picked a direction, called it  $z$ , and used the corresponding spin states for a basis. Of course, any other direction could be chosen as well. *You can now check that, with the above definition of  $S_z$ ,  $|\pm\rangle$  are in fact the eigenvectors of  $S_z$  with eigenvalues  $\pm\hbar/2$ .* Labeling matrix elements in this basis as

$$A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle+|A|+\rangle & \langle+|A|-\rangle \\ \langle-|A|+\rangle & \langle-|A|-\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

you can also verify the following matrix representations *in the  $|\pm\rangle$  basis*:

$$(S_x)_{ij} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (S_y)_{ij} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (S_z)_{ij} = \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, you should check that all three spin operators are self-adjoint. It will be quite a while before you get a deep understanding of why these particular operators are chosen. For now, let us just take them as given and see what we can do with them.

As a good exercise you can verify that

$$|S_x, \pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle \pm |-\rangle), \quad |S_y, \pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+\rangle \pm i|-\rangle)$$

are eigenvectors of  $S_x$  and  $S_y$ , respectively, with eigenvalues  $\pm\hbar/2$ . Note that these eigenvectors are normalized to have norm (“length”) unity. The fact that these eigenvectors are distinct from those of  $S_z$  will be dealt with a little later. For now, just note that the three operators do not share any eigenvectors. Note also that the eigenvalues of the spin operators are all non-degenerate – exercise.

## Spectral decomposition

The spin operators have the general form

$$A = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j|,$$

which we discussed earlier. Note, though, that  $S_z$  has an especially simple, *diagonal* form. This is because it is being represented by an expansion in a basis of its eigenvectors. It is not hard to see that this result is quite general. If  $|i\rangle$  is an ON basis of eigenvectors of  $A$  with eigenvalues  $a_i$ :

$$A|i\rangle = a_i|i\rangle,$$

then the matrix elements are

$$A_{ij} = \langle i|A|j\rangle = a_j\langle i|j\rangle = a_i\delta_{ij},$$

so that (exercise)

$$A = \sum_i a_i |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

This representation of an operator is called its *spectral decomposition*. The name comes from the terminology that the set of eigenvalues forms the *spectrum* of an operator (on a finite dimensional Hilbert space). You can easily see that the definition of  $S_z$  is its spectral decomposition.

Because every self-adjoint operator admits an ON basis of eigenvectors, each such operator admits a spectral decomposition. Of course, different operators will, in general, provide a different ON basis.

## Probability interpretation

We now use the third quantum mechanics postulate (relating expectation values to diagonal matrix elements) to physically interpret some elementary state vectors. Let us start with the eigenvector  $|+\rangle$  of  $S_z$ . *In the state represented by this vector* we have (exercise)

$$\langle S_z \rangle = \langle +|S_z|+\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}, \quad \langle S_x \rangle = \langle S_y \rangle = 0.$$

This makes perfect sense since  $|+\rangle \equiv |S_z, +\rangle$  is supposed to be a state where  $S_z$  is known with certainty (probability unity) to have the value  $+\hbar/2$ . On the other hand, we saw in the Stern-Gerlach experiment that such states have equal probability of finding  $\pm\hbar/2$  for  $S_x$  and  $S_y$  whence their expectation value vanishes. You can verify as an exercise that similar comments (with appropriate permutation of  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$ ) can be made for  $|S_z, -\rangle$ ,  $|S_x, \pm\rangle$ , *etc.*

The third postulate of QM is the place where the mathematical representation of a physical system makes contact with reality. It provides the predictions that can be tested/compared with experiment. Note that the third postulate gives the physical output of QM in terms of probabilities (specifically, expectation values). In fact, as we shall see, *all* the physical predictions of quantum mechanics are intrinsically probabilistic.

How can we see directly the probabilities for the various outcomes of a measurement of something like spin when the third postulate only gives statistical averages, *i.e.*, expectation values? We proceed as follows. Consider a function  $f(x)$  that takes the value 1 at, say,  $x = \hbar/2$  and vanishes otherwise.\* Consider the observable, say,  $f(S_x)$  – not yet viewed as an operator, but just as the experimentally accessible observable. So,  $f(S_x)$  is like a detector for spin-up along the  $x$ -axis — it yields the value one when the spin along  $x$  is  $\frac{\hbar}{2}$  and yields zero otherwise. If you think about repeatedly setting up an experimental state and measuring  $f(S_x)$  you will see that (in the limit of an arbitrarily large number of experiments) the expectation value of  $f(S_x)$  is precisely equal to the probability for finding  $S_x$  to have the value  $\hbar/2$  (exercise). More generally, the probability of finding  $S_x$  to have a value in any range  $R$  of real numbers is just the expectation value of the characteristic function of the set  $R$ . Clearly we can do the same for any other component of the spin. Thus we can extract a probability by computing an expectation value, which we know how to do once we figure out how to represent  $f(S_x)$ . Let's see how to do this.

In general, given a Hermitian operator  $A$  with an ON basis of eigenvectors  $|i\rangle$  and eigenvalues  $a_i$ , and a real-valued function  $h(x)$ , we can define a self-adjoint operator  $h(A)$  using its spectral decomposition. We want the eigenvectors of  $h(A)$  to be the same as for  $A$ , and we want the eigenvalues to be  $h(a_i)$ . Evidently we desire the spectral decomposition

$$h(A) = \sum_i h(a_i) |i\rangle\langle i|.$$

You can easily check that  $|i\rangle$  constitute (a basis of) eigenvectors of  $h(A)$  with eigenvalues  $h(a_i)$ . Thus we define functions of observables by their spectral decomposition. In particular, given the characteristic function  $f$  for the point  $x = \hbar/2$ , we *define* the operator  $f(S_x)$  by its spectral decomposition:

$$f(S_x) = f\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right) |S_x, +\rangle\langle S_x, +| + f\left(-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right) |S_x, -\rangle\langle S_x, -| = |S_x, +\rangle\langle S_x, +|.$$

It is now easy to see, by computing expectation values of  $f(S_x)$  according to the third postulate, that the following probability distributions arise (good exercise!):

$$\text{State: } |S_z, \pm\rangle \longrightarrow \text{Prob}(S_x = \pm\hbar/2) = 1/2, \quad \text{Prob}(S_x = \mp\hbar/2) = 1/2$$

---

\* Such a function is called a *characteristic function* for the set  $x = \frac{\hbar}{2}$ .

State:  $|S_x, \pm\rangle \longrightarrow \text{Prob}(S_x = \pm\hbar/2) = 1, \quad \text{Prob}(S_x = \mp\hbar/2) = 0$

State:  $|S_y, \pm\rangle \longrightarrow \text{Prob}(S_x = \pm\hbar/2) = 1/2, \quad \text{Prob}(S_x = \mp\hbar/2) = 1/2.$

You can easily play similar games with other components of  $\mathbf{S}$ . You can also compute the probabilities (via expectation values) in any state you like just by expanding the state in the  $|\pm\rangle$  basis and computing the expectation values using the orthonormality of the basis. As a nice exercise you should be able to prove that if a state vector takes the form

$$|\psi\rangle = a|+\rangle + b|-\rangle, \quad |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1,$$

then the probability of getting  $\hbar/2$  for  $S_z$  is given by  $|a|^2$  while the probability for getting  $-\hbar/2$  is given by  $|b|^2$ . Note that the normalization condition

$$1 = \langle\psi|\psi\rangle = |a|^2 + |b|^2$$

guarantees that the probabilities add up to unity.

This implies that the probability for getting any other values for  $S_z$  must vanish. Let us prove this directly. Let  $g(x)$  be a function that vanishes at  $\pm\hbar/2$ , that is, that vanishes at the eigenvalues of any of the spin operators. For any component of the spin,  $S_k$ , and for any state  $|\psi\rangle$  we have that

$$\langle g(S_k) \rangle = \langle\psi| \left\{ g\left(\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)|S_k, +\rangle\langle S_k, +| + g\left(-\frac{\hbar}{2}\right)|S_k, -\rangle\langle S_k, -| \right\} |\psi\rangle = 0.$$

In particular, if you pick  $g$  to be a characteristic function of any set not including the spectrum of  $S_k$ , then the expectation value – *which is the probability for finding  $S_k$  to be in that set* – vanishes. Thus we see that *the only possible outcome of a measurement of an observable is an element of its spectrum, i.e., one of its eigenvalues.*

The foregoing results are important enough to state in all generality. The only possible outcome of a measurement of an observable represented by the self-adjoint operator  $A$  is one of the eigenvalues of  $A$ . Given a state represented by the (unit) vector  $|\psi\rangle$  and given an observable (represented by)  $A$ , we can write

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_i \langle i|\psi\rangle |i\rangle,$$

where

$$A|i\rangle = a_i|i\rangle.$$

If there is no degeneracy, the probability for getting the value  $a_i$  upon measurement of (the observable represented by)  $A$  is  $|\langle i|\psi\rangle|^2$ . If there is degeneracy the probability for getting  $a_i$  is given by  $\sum_j |\langle j, a_i|\psi\rangle|^2$ , where the sum runs over an ON basis  $|j, a_i\rangle$  of the subspace associated with  $a_i$ .